

BEHAVIORAL ECONOMICS

Credit points	4 CP		
Duration of the study	2 sem. (study programme 90 CP) / 3 sem. (study programme 120 CP)		
course			
Study course annotation	The content of the course consists of irrational decision-making mechanisms such as sunk cost, status quo effect, mental accounting, which are known as mental shortcuts in behavioral economics.		
Aim of the study	The aim of the course is to inform students about the basic irrational decision-making		
course	mechanisms of people.		
	Knowledge This course provides	Skills Students taking the course	Competences They will be able to
Study course results	This course provides information about the human profile upon which economic theories are built, while also shedding light on the real-life human profile encountered. In fact, the human profile in real life does not conform to the rational human profile upon which classical economic theories are constructed. From this perspective, students will learn how far from rationality individuals actually make decisions.	Students taking the course will not only gain the ability to make more rational decisions in financial markets but also in the face of choices they may encounter in every aspect of life. Additionally, they will acquire knowledge about basic experimental designs used in social sciences, enabling them to conduct controlled experiments at a fundamental level.	They will be able to identify anomalies in financial decisions. They will be able to identify irrational elements in both their own decisions and the decisions of others. They will be able to design controlled experiments at a basic level, thus enriching their academic studies.
	Topics		
	1 Introduction: Homo-Economicus 2 Sunk Cost Effect		
C4	Mental Accounting Gamble de Falle au		
Study course content	4 Gambler's Fallacy 5 Representativeness and Anchoring		
1	6 Ownership Effect		
	7 Representation and Anchoring		
	8 Status Quo Effect		
Form of assessment:	The final exam will consist of a small number of open-ended questions.		
Obligatory literature:			

- Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental Accounting, Loss Aversion, and Tax Evasion: Theory and Evidence. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 12, 183–206. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0771(199909)12:3<183::AID-BDM318>3.0.CO;2-F">https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0771(199909)12:3<183::AID-BDM318>3.0.CO;2-F
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1981). The Framing of Decisions and the Psychology of Choice. Science, 211(4481), 453–458. https://doi.org/10.3366/jbctv.2017.0387
- Kahneman, D., Knetsch, J. L., & Thaler, R. H. (1990). Experimental Tests of the Endowment Effect and the Coase Theorem. Journal of Political Economy, 98(6), 1325–1348. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003019121-8
- Jetter, M., & Walker, J. K. (2017). Anchoring in Financial Decision-Making: Evidence from Jeopardy! Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization, 141, 164–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2017.07.006
- Samuelson, W., & Zeckhauser, R. (1988). Status Quo Bias in Decision Making. Journal of Risk and Uncertainty, 1(1), 7–59. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00055564

Additional literature:

- Arkes, H. R., & Blumer, C. (1985). The Psychology of Sunk Cost. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes, 35(1), 124–140.
- Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably Irrational: The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions. HarperCollins.

BALTIC INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY

- Bucchianeri, G. W., & Minson, J. A. (2013). A Homeowner's Dilemma: Anchoring in Residential Real of Journal Economic Transactions. Behavior and Organization, 76–92. 89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2013.01.010
- Cowan, J. L. (1969). The Gambler's Fallacy. Philosophy and Phenomenological Research, 30(2), 238–251.
- Duxbury, D. (2012). Sunk Costs and Sunk Benefits: A Re-examination of Re-investment. The British Accounting Review, 44, 144–156. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2012.07.004
- Gunaydin, G., Selcuk, E., Yilmaz, C., & Hazan, C. (2018). I Have, Therefore I Love: Status Quo Preference in Mate Choice. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 44(4), 589–600. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167217746339
- Johnson, E., & Goldstein, D. (2003). Do Defaults Save Lives. Science, 302(5649), 1338–1339.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision Under Risk. Econometrica: Journal of The Econometrica Society, 47(2), 236–274. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185
- Libby, R., & Rennekamp, K. (2012). Self-Serving Attribution Bias, Overconfidence, and the Issuance of Management Forecasts. Journal of Accounting Research, 50(1), 197–231. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2011.00430.x
- MacDonald, T. F. (2020). Waste Not, Wait a Lot: The feeling of waste elicits multiple mental accounting strategies in sunk cost decisions. The Ohio State University.
- McDaniel, C., & Baker, R., C. (1977). Convenience Food Packaging and the Perception of Product Quality. Journal of Marketin, 41(4), 57–58.
- Menkhoff, L., Schmidt, U., & Brozynski, T. (2006). The Impact of Experience on Risk Taking, Overconfidence, and Herding of Fund Managers: Complementary Survey Evidence. European Economic Review, 50, 1753–1766. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroecorev.2005.08.001
- Odean, T. (1998). Volume, Volatility, Price, and Profit When all Traders are Above Average. Journal of Finance, 53(6), 1887–1934. https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00078 Ronayne, D., Sgroi, D., & Tuckwell, A. (2020). Evaluating the Sunk Cost Effect. (CAGE Online Working
- Paper Series 475). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2021.03.029
- Sandroni, A., & Squintani, F. (y.y.). A Survey on Overconfidence, Insurance and Self-Assessment Training Programs. Report, Available From Author on Request.
- Scott, P. J., & Lizieri, C. (2012). Consumer House Price Judgements: New Evidence of Anchoring and Research, Coherence. Journal of Property 29(1), https://doi.org/10.1080/09599916.2011.638144
- Speec of Dan Ariely: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=c8iswsLT3Jc
- Thaler, R. H. (2009). Nudge. Penguin Books.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases Amos. Science, 185(4157), 1124–1131.

Changes and additions to the program and literature list are possible during the study process